

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1a. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Admissions	Homeless Living in the Community %	Usually Unemployed Past 3 Years %	Usually Employed Full-Time Past 3 Years %	Competitively Employed Past 30 Days %	Using Alcohol Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Using Etoh or Drugs Last 30 Days in the Comm. %	Mean ASI ETOH at Admission	Mean ASI Drug at Admission	Mean ASI Psychiatric at Admission	Mean GAF Score at Admission
(a) Albany 500	18	55.6%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	72.2%	62.5%	88.2%	0.19	0.17	0.11	52
(b) American Lake 505	29	75.9%	17.2%	41.4%	3.4%	20.7%	17.2%	31.0%	0.06	0.05	0.18	50
(a) Atlanta 508	4	50.0%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.10	0.25	0.09	43
(b) Battle Creek 515	16	12.5%	6.3%	50.0%	0.0%	81.3%	64.3%	87.5%	0.28	0.15	0.29	53
(a) Bedford 518	34	64.7%	14.7%	44.1%	5.9%	76.5%	54.5%	84.8%	0.37	0.14	0.25	53
(a) Birmingham 521	0											
(d) Bonham 522	0											
(b) Boston 523	19	68.4%	15.8%	15.8%	0.0%	89.5%	57.9%	100.0%	0.49	0.20	0.04	52
(c) Boston Women 523	5	60.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	60.0%	50.0%	75.0%	0.02	0.18	0.47	41
(b) Butler 529	3	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.18	0.26	0.16	52
(b) Cleveland 541	46	45.7%	0.0%	82.6%	0.0%	71.7%	63.4%	87.0%	0.15	0.10	0.02	52
(a) Dallas 549	13	76.9%	7.7%	61.5%	0.0%	53.8%	46.2%	53.8%	0.15	0.08	0.26	50
(b) Danville 550	2	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.10	0.00	0.19	53
(b) North Chicago 556	12	66.7%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	75.0%	91.7%	91.7%	0.15	0.23	0.06	40
(b) Ft. Meade 568	11	63.6%	0.0%	63.6%	18.2%	63.6%	9.1%	63.6%	0.19	0.01	0.18	59
(b) Gainesville 573	5	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%	40.0%	100.0%	0.44	0.17	0.24	67
(c) Hot Springs 579	9	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%	11.1%	88.9%	0.0%	88.9%	0.22	0.00	0.26	58
(b) Kansas City 589	12	41.7%	8.3%	50.0%	0.0%	83.3%	83.3%	91.7%	0.49	0.25	0.36	58
(b) Hampton 590	32	21.9%	6.3%	68.8%	0.0%	68.8%	68.8%	84.4%	0.18	0.16	0.16	48
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	29	37.9%	0.0%	79.3%	0.0%	79.3%	55.2%	86.2%	0.28	0.15	0.18	57
(b) Little Rock 598	35	65.7%	5.7%	77.1%	0.0%	88.6%	74.3%	100.0%	0.29	0.29	0.28	49
(a) Lyons 604	12	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	8.3%	58.3%	90.9%	90.9%	0.13	0.20	0.02	42
(b) Northampton 631	16	31.3%	12.5%	56.3%	0.0%	81.3%	80.0%	100.0%	0.29	0.21	0.43	39
(a) Oklahoma City 635	21	66.7%	9.5%	28.6%	0.0%	90.5%	66.7%	95.2%	0.48	0.26	0.24	47
(b) Palo Alto 640	7	100.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	85.7%	42.9%	100.0%	0.19	0.07	0.09	65
(d) Perry Point 641	29	44.8%	31.0%	37.9%	51.7%	44.8%	44.8%	65.5%	0.13	0.09	0.19	51
(b) Pittsburgh 645	11	27.3%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%	72.7%	55.6%	81.8%	0.30	0.12	0.12	56
(a) San Francisco 662	9	55.6%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%	55.6%	22.2%	66.7%	0.12	0.04	0.14	63
(d) Tomah 676	15	20.0%	6.7%	46.7%	6.7%	66.7%	35.7%	78.6%	0.30	0.09	0.45	47
(b) Topeka 677	12	41.7%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	83.3%	41.7%	91.7%	0.29	0.14	0.39	48
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	12	25.0%	16.7%	50.0%	0.0%	58.3%	63.6%	66.7%	0.23	0.13	0.34	56
(d) Milwaukee 695	6	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	50.0%	83.3%	50.0%	83.3%	0.25	0.09	0.34	57
<b>Total</b>	<b>484</b>											
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>												<b>51</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>54.2%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>54.3%</b>	<b>5.5%</b>	<b>68.6%</b>	<b>54.4%</b>	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>0.23</b>	<b>0.14</b>	<b>0.22</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>11.4</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>9.8%</b>	<b>25.6%</b>	<b>13.1%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>26.9%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>0.12</b>	<b>0.08</b>	<b>0.13</b>	<b>7</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 1b. ADMISSION DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Admissions	Substance Abuse Diagnosis %	Any Non-Substance Abuse Psych Diagnosis %	Serious Psychiatric Diagnosis † %	Dually Diagnosed ‡ %	Schizophrenia Diagnosis %	Psychosis Diagnosis (other than schizophrenia) %	Currently on Probation/Parole %	Any Incarcerations %
(a) Albany 500	18	88.9%	55.6%	55.6%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	50.0%
(b) American Lake 505	29	65.5%	79.3%	69.0%	44.8%	0.0%	6.9%	17.2%	75.9%
(a) Atlanta 508	4	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%
(b) Battle Creek 515	16	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	31.3%	81.3%
(a) Bedford 518	34	94.1%	85.3%	82.4%	79.4%	0.0%	0.0%	17.6%	70.6%
(a) Birmingham 521	0								
(d) Bonham 522	0								
(b) Boston 523	19	89.5%	68.4%	47.4%	42.1%	0.0%	0.0%	21.1%	73.7%
(c) Boston 523 Women	5	80.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	60.0%
(b) Butler 529	3	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) Cleveland 541	46	95.7%	60.9%	34.8%	32.6%	0.0%	0.0%	19.6%	82.6%
(a) Dallas 549	13	84.6%	69.2%	69.2%	53.8%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	61.5%
(b) Danville 550	2	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
(b) North Chicago 556	12	100.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%
(b) Ft. Meade 568	11	81.8%	63.6%	54.5%	36.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	81.8%
(b) Gainesville 573	5	100.0%	40.0%	40.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%
(c) Hot Springs 579	9	88.9%	88.9%	77.8%	77.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	88.9%
(b) Kansas City 589	12	100.0%	25.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	16.7%	91.7%
(b) Hampton 590	32	96.9%	56.3%	43.8%	40.6%	0.0%	3.1%	18.8%	81.3%
(a)(b) Lebanon 595	29	100.0%	44.8%	46.4%	44.8%	0.0%	3.4%	39.3%	75.9%
(b) Little Rock 598	35	97.1%	22.9%	17.1%	14.3%	2.9%	0.0%	8.6%	82.9%
(a) Lyons 604	12	91.7%	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	91.7%
(b) Northampton 631	16	100.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.8%	62.5%
(a) Oklahoma City 635	21	100.0%	42.9%	42.9%	42.9%	4.8%	0.0%	4.8%	81.0%
(b) Palo Alto 640	7	100.0%	100.0%	14.3%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	100.0%
(d) Perry Point 641	29	82.8%	65.5%	65.5%	48.3%	0.0%	13.8%	28.6%	69.0%
(b) Pittsburgh 645	11	100.0%	36.4%	36.4%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	63.6%
(a) San Francisco 662	9	88.9%	44.4%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	66.7%
(d) Tomah 676	15	93.3%	93.3%	93.3%	86.7%	6.7%	13.3%	26.7%	80.0%
(b) Topeka 677	12	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	91.7%
(d) Tuscaloosa 679	12	91.7%	91.7%	91.7%	83.3%	8.3%	0.0%	16.7%	91.7%
(d) Milwaukee 695	6	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	16.7%	50.0%	83.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>484</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>15.1</b>	<b>93.7%</b>	<b>60.6%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>45.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>3.7%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>77.0%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>11.4</b>	<b>8.3%</b>	<b>25.1%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>14.6%</b>	<b>17.8%</b>

(a) Sites targeting homeless mentally ill veterans. Practice standards established by VHA for the percent of veterans admitted who are homeless is set at 75%.

(b) Sites targeting veterans with substance abuse problems.

(c) Sites targeting veterans with PTSD.

(d) Sites targeting veterans with general psychiatric problems.

† Serious psychiatric diagnosis is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia; other psychotic disorder; mood disorder, and PTSD.

‡ Dually diagnosed is defined as having a substance abuse disorder and a serious mental illness.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 2. Community-Oriented Programs Environment Scale (COPES) FY05 (October 1, 2004- August 4, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										CINDEX††	
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance Dimensions					
	Involvement	Support	Spontaneity	Autonomy	Practical Orientation	Personal Problem Orientation	Anger and Aggression	Order and Organization	Program Clarity	Staff Control		
Albany 500 (N=13)	3.08	3.38	1.31	1.85	3.54	1.69	1.62	3.54	3.69	3.54	2.85	
American Lake 505 (N=24)	3.25	3.42	2.08	1.88	2.54	1.42	1.00	3.33	3.54	2.96	2.71	
Atlanta 508 (N=4)	3.25	3.25	0.50	1.50	3.75	3.00	2.00	3.50	2.75	3.75	2.81	
Battle Creek 515 (N=17)	3.06	3.29	1.41	1.65	3.41	2.24	2.12	3.24	3.29	3.29	2.76	
Bedford 518 (N=29)	3.62	3.52	2.34	2.62	3.48	1.93	1.03	3.48	3.59	3.21	3.09	
Birmingham 521 (N=0)												
Bonham 522 (N=0)												
Boston 523 (N=17)	3.59	3.59	2.82	2.06	3.47	2.59	1.71	3.71	3.88	3.24	3.22	
Boston 523 Women (N=3)	2.67	3.33	1.67	1.33	2.67	0.67	1.67	2.00	4.00	2.33	2.30	
Butler 529 (N=1)	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.67	
Cleveland 541 (N=45)	3.89	3.78	1.67	2.96	3.51	3.16	0.27	3.80	3.91	3.29	3.33	
Dallas 549 (N=7)	3.83	3.67	0.67	1.50	3.00	2.00	0.83	3.14	4.00	3.33	2.44	
Danville 550 (N=1)	4.00	3.00	0.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	2.78	
North Chicago 556 (N=12)	3.67	3.67	1.67	2.00	3.50	3.08	1.17	3.75	3.50	3.67	3.17	
Ft. Meade 568 (N=9)	2.56	2.89	1.44	2.11	3.11	2.00	1.56	2.67	2.78	2.67	2.47	
Gainesville 573 (N=4)	3.50	3.50	2.25	1.75	3.00	2.50	1.75	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.08	
Hot Springs 579 (N=5)	3.20	3.00	1.60	1.40	3.60	1.80	0.80	3.00	4.00	3.00	2.73	
Kansas City 589 (N=7)	3.71	3.86	2.00	2.00	3.86	1.57	0.86	3.43	4.00	3.43	3.10	
Hampton 590 (N=22)	3.23	2.91	1.55	1.68	3.41	2.41	1.59	3.41	3.32	3.27	2.80	
Lebanon 595 (N=21)	2.90	2.86	1.71	1.95	2.71	2.10	1.90	3.29	3.19	3.19	2.66	
Little Rock 598 (N=26)	3.50	3.46	1.27	1.88	2.92	1.92	1.19	3.62	3.81	3.27	2.85	
Lyons 604 (N=9)	3.89	3.67	2.11	1.89	3.11	2.56	1.00	3.44	3.67	3.33	3.07	
Northampton 631 (N=14)	4.00	3.93	3.86	2.93	3.57	4.00	0.29	4.00	3.86	3.00	3.68	
Oklahoma City 635 (N=19)	2.79	3.26	1.74	1.68	3.16	1.42	1.68	3.32	3.63	3.26	2.70	
Palo Alto 640 (N=8)	3.63	3.63	2.13	2.50	3.38	3.13	0.88	3.88	3.88	3.13	3.25	
Perry Point 641 (N=27)	3.48	3.26	1.15	2.30	3.48	2.19	1.81	3.41	3.63	3.33	2.91	
Pittsburgh 645 (N=11)	3.45	3.36	1.91	2.27	3.45	2.73	1.18	3.45	3.64	3.45	3.08	
San Francisco 662 (N=10)	3.40	3.00	1.90	2.00	2.90	0.80	1.00	3.70	3.70	3.00	2.71	
Tomah 676 (N=7)	3.57	3.57	3.00	2.29	3.86	2.14	0.71	3.57	3.86	3.14	3.22	
Topeka 677 (N=9)	3.56	3.00	1.44	2.22	3.11	1.22	1.00	3.33	3.67	3.22	2.75	
Tuscaloosa 679 (N=10)	3.10	3.60	0.70	1.50	3.50	1.60	2.00	3.10	3.50	3.30	2.66	
Milwaukee 695 (N=5)	4.00	3.60	2.60	2.20	4.00	3.00	0.40	3.80	3.60	3.40	3.36	
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>3.41</b>	<b>1.78</b>	<b>2.03</b>	<b>3.33</b>	<b>2.23</b>	<b>1.20</b>	<b>3.45</b>	<b>3.66</b>	<b>3.22</b>	<b>2.94</b>	
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>0.40</b>	<b>0.32</b>	<b>0.80</b>	<b>0.44</b>	<b>0.38</b>	<b>0.81</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.41</b>	<b>0.33</b>	<b>0.28</b>	<b>0.33</b>	
<b>American Normative Sample (N=54 Programs)</b>	<b>2.71</b>	<b>2.76</b>	<b>2.11</b>	<b>1.97</b>	<b>2.26</b>	<b>1.82</b>	<b>1.66</b>	<b>2.97</b>	<b>3.05</b>	<b>2.26</b>		

† COPES subscales scores range 0-4.

†† Cindex is the mean of 9 of the 10 COPES subscales. The anger & aggression subscale has been omitted.

September 1, 2005  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 3. Work Environment Scale (WES) Data FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)†**

SITE	SUBSCALE MEANS										WINDEX‡‡	
	Relationship Dimensions			Personal Growth Dimensions			System Maintenance and System Change Dimensions					
	Involvement	Peer Cohesion	Supervisor Support	Autonomy	Task Orientation	Work Pressure	Clarity	Control	Innovation	Physical Comfort		
Albany 500 (N=13)	5.00	3.85	5.15	4.92	7.23	3.38	7.69	7.69	2.38	6.23	5.57	
American Lake 505 (N=24)	6.58	5.88	6.21	6.13	7.58	3.33	7.29	6.79	3.96	6.42	6.31	
Atlanta 508 (N=4)	6.00	5.75	6.75	4.00	8.25	2.50	8.00	7.75	2.00	6.50	6.11	
Battle Creek 515 (N=17)	5.41	5.94	6.06	5.24	7.12	4.06	6.94	7.35	3.47	5.06	5.84	
Bedford 518 (N=29)	5.31	5.28	5.59	5.07	6.38	3.48	6.45	6.41	2.93	5.66	5.45	
Birmingham 521 (N=0)												
Bonham 522 (N=0)												
Boston 523 (N=17)	6.18	6.00	5.94	4.76	7.06	2.76	7.47	6.41	3.88	5.65	5.93	
Boston 523 Women (N=3)	6.33	3.67	6.33	5.33	7.00	2.33	7.00	6.33	2.33	5.67	5.56	
Butler 529 (N=1)	9.00	9.00	8.00	6.00	9.00	3.00	8.00	6.00	6.00	9.00	7.78	
Cleveland 541 (N=45)	6.38	6.09	7.07	5.98	8.04	5.13	7.93	7.49	3.42	5.56	6.44	
Dallas 549 (N=7)	5.67	4.33	4.67	5.50	6.83	3.71	7.83	8.83	3.67	7.00	6.04	
Danville 550 (N=1)	7.00	4.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	1.00	9.00	8.00	4.00	7.00	6.78	
North Chicago 556 (N=12)	7.75	7.00	7.08	5.75	8.00	3.42	7.75	7.83	4.58	6.67	6.94	
Ft. Meade 568 (N=9)	6.22	5.56	6.78	6.22	8.00	4.22	7.78	6.00	4.11	6.67	6.37	
Gainesville 573 (N=4)	6.00	5.25	6.50	5.50	8.25	1.75	7.75	8.00	3.25	6.25	6.31	
Hot Springs 579 (N=5)	6.40	6.20	6.80	5.60	7.60	3.00	7.60	5.80	5.20	6.80	6.44	
Kansas City 589 (N=7)	7.29	6.57	5.86	6.14	7.43	2.57	8.29	6.43	4.71	7.00	6.63	
Hampton 590 (N=22)	5.91	6.05	6.36	5.14	7.00	2.59	7.09	7.05	3.36	6.36	6.04	
Lebanon 595 (N=21)	4.62	5.48	6.05	5.10	6.76	2.90	7.10	7.00	2.76	5.90	5.64	
Little Rock 598 (N=26)	6.04	5.15	6.15	5.31	7.35	2.27	7.42	6.62	3.77	7.15	6.11	
Lyons 604 (N=9)	6.56	6.00	6.33	6.00	7.67	2.78	7.78	6.78	4.11	6.33	6.40	
Northampton 631 (N=14)	7.79	7.14	6.79	8.07	7.36	1.00	8.36	7.43	6.57	6.93	7.38	
Oklahoma City 635 (N=19)	5.95	4.84	6.05	6.26	6.58	2.53	7.05	6.11	3.68	6.16	5.85	
Palo Alto 640 (N=8)	6.25	5.50	6.50	6.00	7.25	3.63	6.75	6.63	3.25	6.38	6.06	
Perry Point 641 (N=27)	5.73	5.08	6.00	5.50	6.69	4.00	6.88	6.46	3.38	5.19	5.66	
Pittsburgh 645 (N=11)	7.36	6.09	6.27	4.91	7.45	3.27	7.64	7.55	4.73	7.36	6.60	
San Francisco 662 (N=10)	5.50	5.60	5.10	4.60	6.50	4.00	6.70	6.40	3.90	6.20	5.61	
Tomah 676 (N=7)	6.43	6.00	7.00	5.71	7.14	3.14	7.86	6.86	4.43	7.14	6.51	
Topeka 677 (N=9)	6.33	5.22	5.89	6.11	7.89	3.56	7.22	6.67	3.44	7.22	6.22	
Tuscaloosa 679 (N=10)	7.10	5.70	6.70	5.90	7.70	2.60	8.20	7.50	5.30	6.60	6.74	
Milwaukee 695 (N=5)	7.60	5.80	6.40	5.20	8.20	2.20	7.40	5.60	4.20	7.40	6.42	
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>6.39</b>	<b>5.67</b>	<b>6.35</b>	<b>5.60</b>	<b>7.44</b>	<b>3.00</b>	<b>7.54</b>	<b>6.93</b>	<b>3.89</b>	<b>6.52</b>	<b>6.26</b>	
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>1.03</b>	<b>0.73</b>	<b>0.72</b>	<b>0.61</b>	<b>0.90</b>	<b>0.56</b>	<b>0.76</b>	<b>1.02</b>	<b>0.78</b>	<b>0.54</b>	
<b>Normative Sample</b>												
<b>General Work Setting</b>	<b>5.95</b>	<b>5.70</b>	<b>5.68</b>	<b>5.54</b>	<b>5.90</b>	<b>4.40</b>	<b>5.60</b>	<b>4.88</b>	<b>4.42</b>	<b>4.89</b>		
<b>(N=1,446 employees)</b>												

† WES subscales scores range 0-9.

‡‡ Windex is the mean of 9 of the 10 WES subscales. The work pressure subscale has been omitted.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4a. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Discharges <sup>†</sup>	Mean Length of Stay	Avg Wkly Rent Paid	Avg CWT Wkly Earnings	Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings	Total Avg Wkly Earnings	Mutual Agreed D/C %	Failure to Comply w/ Prog. Req. %	Left Before Planned Discharge %
Albany 500	15	131.6	\$45.26	\$189.76	\$17.68	\$207.44	33.3%	60.0%	6.7%
American Lake 505	31	154.4	\$48.08	\$148.66	\$50.46	\$199.11	58.1%	22.6%	16.1%
Atlanta 508	0								
Battle Creek 515	13	106.5	\$45.13	\$189.68	\$0.00	\$189.68	53.8%	23.1%	15.4%
Bedford 518	35	188.0	\$56.30	\$247.68	\$18.29	\$265.97	62.9%	14.3%	20.0%
Birmingham 521	0								
Bonham 522	0								
Boston 523	16	266.9	\$88.82	\$287.68	\$46.64	\$316.35	62.5%	18.8%	12.5%
Boston 523 Women	2	373.0	\$65.11	\$245.89	\$0.00	\$122.94	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Butler 529	1	24.0	\$42.00	\$265.42	\$0.00	\$265.42	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Cleveland 541	54	123.7	\$59.89	\$229.20	\$14.82	\$244.02	57.4%	11.1%	31.5%
Dallas 549	12	203.5	\$62.30	\$181.88	\$0.30	\$182.18	41.7%	16.7%	41.7%
Danville 550	2	320.0	\$28.64	\$138.36	\$107.14	\$245.51	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
North Chicago 556	13	200.4	\$45.24	\$158.80	\$49.29	\$208.10	15.4%	46.2%	38.5%
Ft. Meade 568	11	180.7	\$36.83	\$136.39	\$19.67	\$156.06	45.5%	27.3%	18.2%
Gainesville 573	9	157.2	\$64.06	\$184.70	\$48.30	\$233.00	66.7%	22.2%	0.0%
Hot Springs 579	8	182.3	\$37.90	\$181.01	\$116.81	\$297.83	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%
Kansas City 589	14	157.3	\$55.98	\$195.24	\$23.75	\$218.99	14.3%	64.3%	21.4%
Hampton 590	34	125.3	\$43.36	\$172.99	\$25.73	\$193.63	44.1%	41.2%	11.8%
Lebanon 595	29	118.7	\$40.90	\$214.75	\$20.45	\$235.20	44.8%	31.0%	24.1%
Little Rock 598	39	189.3	\$50.47	\$196.00	\$10.76	\$206.76	51.3%	20.5%	28.2%
Lyons 604	12	284.2	\$52.07	\$228.76	\$37.01	\$265.78	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
Northampton 631	8	176.4	\$49.14	\$200.21	\$7.84	\$208.06	50.0%	37.5%	12.5%
Oklahoma City 635	14	133.2	\$38.38	\$167.23	\$21.55	\$188.78	21.4%	35.7%	35.7%
Palo Alto 640	7	313.3	\$72.14	\$267.82	\$90.18	\$358.00	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%
Perry Point 641	29	220.9	\$34.95	\$58.77	\$161.22	\$219.99	48.3%	20.7%	27.6%
Pittsburgh 645	11	202.9	\$37.30	\$181.02	\$37.01	\$218.03	72.7%	18.2%	9.1%
San Francisco 662	10	300.3	\$50.58	\$198.67	\$123.08	\$321.75	60.0%	20.0%	10.0%
Tomah 676	14	122.5	\$65.06	\$163.27	\$33.37	\$196.64	57.1%	7.1%	35.7%
Topeka 677	13	232.5	\$51.99	\$209.42	\$0.00	\$209.42	46.2%	46.2%	0.0%
Tuscaloosa 679	3	56.0	\$14.25	\$201.26	\$6.44	\$207.70	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%
Milwaukee 695	6	209.3	\$69.58	\$378.90	\$3.18	\$382.07	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>465</b>								
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>188.1</b>	<b>\$50.06</b>	<b>\$200.67</b>	<b>\$37.62</b>	<b>\$233.25</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>23.8%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>79.5</b>	<b>\$14.89</b>	<b>\$56.24</b>	<b>\$42.38</b>	<b>\$57.70</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>16.6%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>

<sup>†</sup>This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

<sup>††</sup>If there are errors/omissions for questions #17-17b or #18-18b on the discharge summary the "Total Avg Wkly Earnings" will not equal the sum of "Avg CWT Wkly Earnings" and "Avg Comp Emp Wkly Earnings."

September 1, 2005  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 4b. DISCHARGE DATA for FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of Discharges †	Housed at D/C %	Institutionalized at D/C‡‡ %	Competitive Emp. at D/C %	In CWT at D/C %	Unemployed at D/C %	Student or Vocational Training at D/C %	Retired or Disabled at D/C %	Mutually Agreed D/C, Housed & Comp. Emp at D/C %	Mean GAF Score At D/C
Albany 500	15	73.3%	6.7%	33.3%	13.3%	40.0%	13.3%	0.0%	26.7%	52
American Lake 505	31	87.1%	3.2%	51.6%	6.5%	45.2%	3.2%	6.5%	35.5%	60
Atlanta 508	0									
Battle Creek 515	13	30.8%	30.8%	23.1%	15.4%	53.8%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	54
Bedford 518	35	71.4%	8.6%	14.3%	58.8%	29.4%	5.7%	5.7%	11.4%	60
Birmingham 521	0									
Bonham 522	0									
Boston 523	16	81.3%	12.5%	12.5%	50.0%	12.5%	6.3%	18.8%	6.3%	55
Boston 523 Women	2	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	58
Butler 529	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	49
Cleveland 541	54	61.1%	18.5%	40.7%	7.4%	24.1%	1.9%	1.9%	31.5%	60
Dallas 549	12	91.7%	8.3%	8.3%	33.3%	41.7%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	52
Danville 550	2	100.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	60
North Chicago 556	13	69.2%	15.4%	38.5%	0.0%	61.5%	0.0%	0.0%	15.4%	50
Ft. Meade 568	11	63.6%	18.2%	18.2%	27.3%	36.4%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	54
Gainesville 573	9	77.8%	11.1%	44.4%	0.0%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	44.4%	79
Hot Springs 579	8	87.5%	0.0%	75.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	50.0%	63
Kansas City 589	14	71.4%	14.3%	21.4%	7.1%	64.3%	7.1%	0.0%	14.3%	54
Hampton 590	34	82.4%	2.9%	50.0%	2.9%	44.1%	0.0%	2.9%	32.4%	51
Lebanon 595	29	75.9%	20.7%	48.3%	6.9%	41.4%	0.0%	0.0%	31.0%	56
Little Rock 598	39	84.6%	0.0%	51.3%	5.1%	38.5%	7.7%	0.0%	38.5%	58
Lyons 604	12	83.3%	8.3%	50.0%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	50
Northampton 631	8	50.0%	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	50
Oklahoma City 635	14	57.1%	14.3%	28.6%	7.1%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	21.4%	53
Palo Alto 640	7	85.7%	14.3%	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	42.9%	73
Perry Point 641	29	75.9%	10.3%	58.6%	3.4%	13.8%	3.4%	17.2%	24.1%	55
Pittsburgh 645	11	81.8%	9.1%	54.5%	9.1%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	60
San Francisco 662	10	70.0%	10.0%	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	65
Tomah 676	14	78.6%	7.1%	35.7%	28.6%	35.7%	0.0%	42.9%	28.6%	58
Topeka 677	13	69.2%	23.1%	46.2%	0.0%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	38.5%	63
Tuscaloosa 679	3	100.0%	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	66.7%	67
Milwaukee 695	6	100.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	63
<b>Total</b>	<b>465</b>									<b>57</b>
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>										
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>14.5</b>	<b>76.2%</b>	<b>11.8%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>18.0%</b>	<b>32.5%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>16.3%</b>	<b>11.0%</b>	<b>18.9%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>20.4%</b>	<b>17.5%</b>	<b>7</b>

†This table only includes 1st discharges from your program.

‡‡Includes institution, halfway house/transitional living programs, and prison.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**TABLE 5. THREE-MONTH FOLLOW UP DATA FOR FY05 (October 1, 2004 - August 4, 2005)**

SITE	Number of 3-Month Follow-ups Interviewed	Etoh and Drug Free Past 3 Months %	Housed Past 90 Days† %	Mean Nights Housed Past 90 Days	Currently in Competitive Employment %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment Past 3 Months %	Currently in CWT %	Sober, Housed, and in Competitive Employment or in CWT Past 3 Months %	Mean Total Income Past 30 Days	Mean GAF Score at 3-month Follow-up
Albany 500	17	52.9%	64.7%	68.0	58.8%	35.3%	17.6%	41.2%	\$1,134.44	54
American Lake 505	24	66.7%	75.0%	71.7	58.3%	45.8%	8.3%	50.0%	\$1,111.71	61
Atlanta 508	0									
Battle Creek 515	7	57.1%	85.7%	77.1	85.7%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	\$1,438.43	74
Bedford 518	10	90.0%	80.0%	72.0	30.0%	30.0%	60.0%	50.0%	\$1,344.30	68
Birmingham 521	0									
Bonham 522	0									
Boston 523	7	57.1%	57.1%	72.9	42.9%	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	\$1,488.71	55
Boston 523 Women	6	83.3%	16.7%	28.7	40.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	\$2,133.17	56
Cleveland 541	12	83.3%	83.3%	73.9	91.7%	66.7%	8.3%	66.7%	\$1,159.17	66
Dallas 549	17	70.6%	76.5%	77.5	31.3%	11.8%	41.2%	35.3%	\$929.18	55
Danville 550	2	50.0%	50.0%	66.0	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	\$703.50	59
North Chicago 556	3	100.0%	66.7%	60.0	100.0%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,233.33	70
Ft. Meade 568	11	81.8%	72.7%	74.3	9.1%	0.0%	54.5%	36.4%	\$895.82	57
Gainesville 573	6	100.0%	66.7%	60.0	66.7%	66.7%	16.7%	66.7%	\$1,352.60	82
Hot Springs 579	4	75.0%	75.0%	67.5	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$1,874.75	69
Kansas City 589	2	50.0%	100.0%	90.0	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	\$350.50	55
Hampton 590	6	83.3%	100.0%	90.0	83.3%	66.7%	16.7%	83.3%	\$2,479.17	53
Lebanon 595	19	78.9%	57.9%	61.1	68.4%	42.1%	0.0%	42.1%	\$849.21	62
Little Rock 598	8	87.5%	87.5%	84.4	62.5%	62.5%	42.9%	87.5%	\$1,438.75	70
Lyons 604	3	66.7%	100.0%	90.0	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	66.7%	\$1,746.33	53
Northampton 631	0									
Oklahoma City 635	15	46.7%	40.0%	60.4	53.3%	40.0%	13.3%	40.0%	\$1,044.07	55
Palo Alto 640	5	100.0%	100.0%	90.0	80.0%	80.0%	20.0%	100.0%	\$1,445.80	79
Perry Point 641	16	75.0%	68.8%	68.9	46.7%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	\$1,103.00	52
Pittsburgh 645	12	91.7%	91.7%	82.5	90.0%	66.7%	8.3%	66.7%	\$1,266.42	61
San Francisco 662	4	100.0%	75.0%	67.5	75.0%	50.0%	0.0%	50.0%	\$1,849.75	71
Tomah 676	3	33.3%	100.0%	90.0	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	\$1,141.00	57
Topeka 677	14	42.9%	64.3%	67.5	85.7%	35.7%	0.0%	35.7%	\$901.79	62
Milwaukee 695	7	85.7%	85.7%	85.7	57.1%	57.1%	28.6%	71.4%	\$1,857.86	64
<b>Total</b>	<b>240</b>									
<b>Veteran Average (for GAF)</b>										<b>61</b>
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>8.0</b>	<b>73.4%</b>	<b>74.7%</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>57.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>\$1,318.18</b>	<b>62</b>
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>20.1%</b>	<b>13.6</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>\$467.20</b>	<b>8</b>

†Housed past 90 days is defined as being either in own apartment, room, or house; someone else's apt., room or house; or a hotel, SRO, or boarding home for at least 90 days.

**September 1, 2005**  
**COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY**  
**TRANSITIONAL RESIDENCE PROGRAM**

**Table 6. Three-Month Follow-Up Rates by Fiscal Year and by Site.**

SITE	FY92	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY01	FY02	FY03	FY04†	FY05†	
	3-Month														
Albany 500	100.0%	66.7%	83.3%	100.0%	89.5%	76.9%	72.7%	70.8%	40.0%	26.9%	11.1%	57.1%	92.3%	80.0%	
American Lake 505	73.7%	85.7%	76.2%	86.3%	63.3%	26.3%	0.0%	33.3%	22.7%	36.7%	41.2%	60.0%	69.8%	54.1%	
Atlanta 508					75.0%	66.7%	66.7%	46.7%	55.6%	26.7%	0.0%	37.5%	15.4%	0.0%	
Battle Creek 515	100.0%	93.3%	84.4%	77.3%	90.3%	96.4%	94.3%	90.0%	87.5%	17.7%	6.3%	5.6%	34.8%	5.9%	
Bedford 518					70.4%	58.5%	28.1%	22.5%	35.2%	31.5%	36.8%	28.2%	42.3%	17.9%	
Birmingham 521													0.0%	0.0%	
Boston 523	80.0%	71.4%	84.2%	100.0%	100.0%	96.2%	100.0%	88.2%	100.0%	100.0%	85.7%	82.4%	80.0%	46.2%	
Boston 523 Women										100.0%	57.1%	42.9%	75.0%	100.0%	80.0%
Cleveland 541						35.7%	64.3%	46.9%	57.4%	48.0%	28.6%	65.0%	12.8%	17.2%	
Dallas 549	50.0%	85.7%	57.1%	76.5%	65.2%	75.9%	93.3%	92.3%	57.9%	44.4%	75.0%	88.9%	76.0%	73.7%	
Danville 550											63.6%	54.5%	63.6%	0.0%	
North Chicago 556	60.0%	57.9%	71.9%	84.4%	92.0%	94.6%	74.2%	52.9%	36.4%	36.7%	41.4%	55.6%	26.9%	10.5%	
Ft. Meade 568	80.0%	87.0%	70.0%	70.0%	33.3%	53.3%	47.1%	83.3%	84.6%	30.8%	83.3%	50.0%	47.8%	47.1%	
Gainesville 573										66.7%	58.8%	52.9%	61.5%	60.0%	
Hot Springs 579													100.0%	50.0%	
Kansas City 589					100.0%	35.3%	40.4%	40.9%	33.3%	7.4%	0.0%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%	
Hampton 590	51.6%	73.0%	75.0%	81.1%	87.5%	63.8%	62.3%	57.1%	48.7%	40.0%	38.9%	51.3%	16.7%	15.2%	
Knoxville 592	87.5%	72.7%	90.9%	81.3%	95.0%	15.8%	n.a.								
Lebanon 595					100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	11.1%	30.0%	26.7%	38.9%	5.6%	56.3%	
Little Rock 598	88.2%	86.2%	92.0%	78.6%	77.8%	58.5%	61.7%	24.5%	27.8%	30.6%	4.3%	28.2%	12.0%	21.1%	
Lyons 604										11.1%	25.0%	50.0%	68.8%	47.1%	
Northhampton 631	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	69.4%	57.1%	29.4%	96.3%	95.7%	28.1%	41.7%	38.1%	43.5%	28.6%	0.0%	
Oklahoma City 635					100.0%	91.9%	36.7%	62.5%	66.7%	18.5%	26.1%	59.3%	57.9%	62.8%	
Palo Alto 640	66.7%	75.0%	61.5%	75.0%	66.7%	44.4%	0.0%	50.0%	33.3%	68.4%	80.0%	65.0%	72.7%	71.4%	
Perry Point 641													8.0%	53.3%	
Pittsburgh 645	100.0%	71.4%	64.7%	71.4%	56.3%	50.0%	76.9%	57.7%	72.7%	54.6%	52.4%	77.8%	84.2%	70.6%	
San Francisco 662					50.0%	100.0%	90.0%	85.0%	83.3%	52.2%	50.0%	37.5%	75.0%	71.4%	
Tomah 676										0.0%	6.7%	26.3%	30.0%	44.4%	
Topeka 677	60.0%	68.8%	64.4%	64.9%	97.1%	60.5%	36.8%	47.4%	50.0%	75.0%	20.0%	76.2%	68.8%	86.7%	
Milwaukee 695											66.7%	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	
<b>Site Average</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>78.6%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>71.9%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>63.4%</b>	<b>59.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>41.8%</b>	<b>41.3%</b>	<b>56.2%</b>	<b>49.8%</b>	<b>39.7%</b>	
<b>Site S.D.</b>	<b>19.0%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>13.7%</b>	<b>13.8%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>25.5%</b>	<b>21.5%</b>	<b>32.4%</b>	<b>28.9%</b>	

† The percents for FY04 and FY05 under-represent 3-month follow-up rates as NEPEC is still expecting more data from sites.